

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills T.E.A. Party News

For the time being we will NOT be holding the Saturday meetings. We may have Special Meetings when we have Special Guests, which will be publically announced in the paper, email, word-of-mouth. Check for updates at flinthillsteaparty.com We are now posting news items, events & the newsletters both recent & archived issues at our website. Weekly meetings are held at McAlister's, 5:30/6-10pm, Wed. evenings. They are come & go, at your convenience. Bring your concerns for discussion. Your concerns are important – they are why we exist. In this publication what is in green is me (Sylda), other color is just for getting attention. Changes in font are for letting you know it is a new subject or person speaking. Help me include YOU!! YOU are IMPORTANT!! GOD be with you now and always.

COMEY DOESN'T PASS THE SNIFF TEST

by THOMAS GALLATIN OF THE PATRIOT POST

On Thursday, after months of foot-dragging and threats of subpoena and impeachment, the Justice Department finally handed over to Congress [memos](#) written by former FBI Director James Comey. They contain no bombshell revelations; rather they provide greater details of Comey's meetings and conversations with President Donald Trump. And as Trump expressed via his favorite social media site, "James Comey Memos just out and show clearly that there was NO COLLUSION and NO OBSTRUCTION. Also, he leaked classified information. WOW! Will the Witch Hunt continue?"

So what do we learn from the Comey memos? First, Trump is correct that there is nothing in them that supports any suspicion of Russian collusion. In fact, what may be most revealing about the memos is just how little support Comey was willing to offer Trump. For example, Comey brought to Trump's attention the dubious and salacious dossier, ostensibly in order to warn the president about its existence, not because Comey was saying it was true. However, Comey failed — over multiple meetings — to inform Trump that the dossier had been [paid for by Hillary Clinton's campaign](#). Clearly, from the very beginning Comey chose to view Trump as a potential criminal suspect rather than president-elect, even though he had no solid evidence supporting this suspicion.

Second, it's clear from the earliest meeting that Trump desired to work with and trust Comey. Trump expressed sympathy for the "impossible positions" Comey was in during the campaign, obviously alluding to the Clinton email investigation. It's not until later meetings that Trump brought up "loyalty," which Comey found so off-putting, even though we now learn that he essentially agreed to "honest loyalty." Why would Trump have felt the need to question Comey on his loyalty? The answer seems obvious — Trump sensed (correctly, as it turns out) that Comey was not on board with his presidency and was seeking to determine if he could trust his subordinate.

Finally, as has been the case before, these memos reveal more about Comey's character than they do Trump's. Specifically, in the second meeting Comey had with Trump on Jan. 28, 2017, the president expressed concerns about stopping leaks and appeared to press Comey on his reliability. Comey wrote, "I explained that he could count on me to always tell him the truth. I said I don't do sneaky things, I don't leak, I don't do weasel moves. But I was not on anybody's side politically and could not be counted on in that traditional political sense." No leaks? No weasel moves? One wonders if Comey was lying at the time or changed his mind later.

The memos add more weight to the conclusion that the former FBI director was a [political hack](#). Comey chose to follow his personal high-minded "values" rather than the Rule of Law, and in so doing he justified decisions aimed at undercutting support for the president. Comey admitted as much in his Senate hearing after having been fired. Comey confessed to leaking to the press, stating, "I woke up in the middle of the night Monday [thinking] that there might be corroboration for our conversation. And my judgment was that I needed to get that out in the public square. So I asked a friend of mine to share the content of [my memos] with a reporter." Trump was the only president for whom he felt he needed to keep his own memos. Why? Comey was clearly opposed to Trump and thought it might come in handy one day to have a record of his side of the story to use against the president.

On a final note, Comey's oft-repeated refrain that he wanted to [guard the integrity of and public trust in](#) the FBI rings hollow. His decisions and actions indicate a man more focused on promoting his own partisan desires than objectively enforcing the Rule of Law no matter who was in office.

THE SENTINEL --- APRIL 20, 2018
HOW ARE THOSE GUN-FREE SCHOOL ZONES WORKING OUT?
NOT WELL, KRIS KOBACH TELLS 2A SUPPORTERS

As Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach watched 16-year-old gun control advocates rally following a school shooting in Parkland, Florida, he thought Second Amendment advocates needed to answer.

"Because you know, when you're 16 years-old, you pretty much know everything you need to know," he quipped. "If we call for a rally, somebody will probably show up. And probably 300 of you showed up."

He called gun right supporters the silent majority during a rally for the Second Amendment at the State Capitol Friday.

Lt. Gov. Tracey Mann, Senate Vice President Susan Wagle, and Speaker of the House Ron Ryckman also spoke at the rally moderated by KQAM radio host Andy Hooser.

"You would've thought that once we elected someone like Donald Trump we could relax a little bit when it comes to Second Amendment rights," Hooser told the crowd. "They're still trying to take guns away."

He cited recent legislation to revoke campus carry laws as evidence that the fight continues in Kansas. During the 2018 session, anti-gun legislators have tried to impose longer waiting periods on gun purchases, implement a \$1 tax on every firearm and a 1-cent tax on ammunition, and tried to remove a NRA's gun safety program—Eddie Eagle—from a Kansas House proposal to strengthen school security, Travis Couture-Lovelady, a former state legislator and the state director for the National Rifle Association, said.

"If we don't speak up, we know where all of this talk about common sense gun control solutions is going," Couture-Lovelady said. "Their endgame is the total repeal of the Second Amendment."

A handful of anti-Second Amendment protesters screamed during the rally, which was occurring about the same time as students at a number of public schools walked out in support of gun control.

"I have an idea, instead of walking out of class, why don't you spent that half hour in class studying the history of the 2A. You might learn something," Kobach said.

The anti-gun protesters don't speak for Kobach, and he said and they don't speak for Kansans.

"We are a state that cherishes the right to keep and bear arms," Kobach said. "And we recognize that if you want to keep someone safe, the only way to stop a bad guy with a gun is a good guy with a gun."

During the Parkland shooting, Kobach said law enforcement failed and mental health services failed.

"Government failed again and again," he said. "When government fails, the individual needs to respond."

In Parkland, one courageous individual, high school football coach Aaron Feis, died using his body to shield others. Kobach said if he'd had a firearm, he could have stopped the shooter and ensured that many other lives were saved. Kobach, who is running for Governor, said he will push for laws that allow trained teachers and school staff to carry firearms.

America defends its presidents, banks, and stadiums with firearms, he continued.

"We defend our celebrities with guns, but we defend our children with signs that read, 'This is a gun-free zone.' How's that working out? Not very well," Kobach said.

Why do we give our banks, stadiums and other such places more protection than we give our most precious treasures – our children?? Your right, guns are NOT good things to have around children – That is unless they are for protection. We should protect our children as completely as possible and that may mean to have guns, in the right hands, in our schools. We have tried prohibiting guns entirely, but that does NOT work, therefore we must use guns to protect our children. Those with the guns will need to be extremely carefully chosen. Our children are worth whatever we must do to protect them. Those who will threaten these children with firearms are disposable and must be disposed of!!!

JAMES WOODS' RESPONSE TO HILLARY'S THEY-WOULDN'T-LET-ME-WIN WHINE IS PURE GOLD

BY JOE SAUNDERS ON APRIL 21, 2018

For all Hillary Clinton's whining since election night, this might have been the worst.

Worse than her [insults to American women](#) delivered in India in March. Worse than her [knock on white people](#) in September.

But after The New York Times this week published a statement Hillary made while her defeat was still in the making, conservative actor James Woods nailed her with a Twitter post that might have been his best one yet.

Woods was responding to an excerpt of the book "Chasing Hillary" by Times staff writer Amy Chozick. The excerpt was published online on Friday.

The [piece was headlined](#) "They Were Never Going to Let Me Be President," and described one scene when the failed Democrat candidate for president was told by campaign manager Robby Mook — as late Nov. 8 became early Nov. 9 — that her defeat was inevitable.

"I knew it. I knew this would happen to me," Clinton said, according to Chozick. "They were never going to let me be president."

Woods wasted no time responding, and even for a [past master](#) of Twitter strikes, it was brutal:

Woods tweeted: "You couldn't beat 1.) a dope-smoking block party organizer. 2) a dandruff ridden old Commie from Vermont. 3) a reality TV host. It's not 'they' who stopped you, Honey Bunny, it's YOU!"

You bet it is YOU, Hillary. All the way – YOU!! No wonder your trying to the lower the age to vote. A child just might vote for you in their immaturity. No truly thinking, intelligent, mature person would vote for you or any Clinton!! To vote for you and or any of your comrades would be voting for the end of our great Country. The voters across the country used the brain GOD gave them when they did NOT vote for YOU!!! Be careful, voters, research those running and be sure they are who YOU want to be at the head of the USA!!!

I received this from John D'Aloia:

<https://www.dailysignal.com/2018/04/17/new-national-test-scores-show-betsy-devos-was-right-about-public-schools/> "Perhaps one of the most pivotal moments came when she suggested that the United States' heavy federal investment in education has not yielded any results. Stahl hit back, asserting that school performance has been on the rise. But the latest government data show otherwise. According to the recently released 2017 National Assessment of Educational Progress, also known as the nation's "report card," we now have more evidence that DeVos was correct. Indeed, for decades, Washington has poured billions of dollars into the public education system under the assumption that more federal spending will close achievement caps and improve the academic outcomes of students. With mounting evidence that more federal spending is not the answer, it may be time to consider other policy approaches. DeVos is correct to suggest school choice as a solution to lackluster school performance. Parents who cannot afford to send their child to a school that is the right fit deserve to have options. As DeVos told Stahl: . . . " [I still do not understand what in the Constitution gives the feds the authority to dictate education policy and spend tax dollars on schools.] *Right on!! There are too many Liberals telling the schools what & how to teach. Going back to basic school books & teaching the basics as they were taught years ago – I don't really know when the teaching changed from teaching about our Country & basics to earning a living, learning respect for others & for our Country to teaching no respect for anyone or anything. Teach our children to acknowledge they are not GOD; that they can contribute positively to their world by following the law, having a full time position, being a part of their families, schools, communities, state & federal needs. Teaching them that each & every one of them need to be a part of their children's lives, community, schools, government. It is important for everyone to understand that when children are raised & taught that they can do whatever they desire with no concern for anyone or anything that everything goes to pot. That is what has happened in our Country – children are not taught responsibility for themselves or anything/anyone else. They are allowed to think that the world can be allowed to run itself & all will be well. That is as wrong as wrong can be. Every citizen has their share of responsibility of keeping the world, including schools, on the right track. Parents & teachers are the ones to do the teaching of our children – we have to start somewhere – Let's start now by making our schools what they need to be. Only good teachers can do that with the support of their Principals, School Boards. That alone is*

not going to cure our Country of its problems, but we have to start somewhere. Tell me of your suggestions to get us on the right road. We need your opinion.



WHEN SOCIOPATHS USED A BOMB TO MURDER 168 PEOPLE AND INJURE OVER 680 OTHERS IN 1995, IT WASN'T A "BOMB PROBLEM."

WHEN SOCIOPATHS USED AIRPLANES TO MURDER 2,977 PEOPLE AND INJURE OVER 6,000 OTHERS ON 9/11, IT WASN'T AN "AIRPLANES PROBLEM."

WHEN A SOCIOPATH USED A TRUCK TO MURDER 85 PEOPLE AND INJURE 458 OTHERS IN 2016, IT WASN'T A "TRUCK PROBLEM."

BUT WHEN SOCIOPATHS USE A GUN TO MURDER PEOPLE, WHY DO DEMOCRATS ALWAYS LABEL IT A "GUN PROBLEM"?



THE PATRIOT POST

The Culture of Death and Growing Totalitarianism

Originally published at Fox News

The British government's decisions to allow two critically ill babies to die in two years is a natural reflection of the culture of death and the steady increase in totalitarian tendencies among Western governments.

Last year, the British government ordered life support removed from Charlie Gard, ending his life when he was just 11 months old. Now, Alfie Evans – just 23 months old – has received what amounts to the same death sentence. On Monday, he was removed from life support by court order – against the wishes of his parents.

Then, something remarkable happened. The child confounded his doctors and refused to die.

As of the time I am writing this, Alfie Evans is still alive and is breathing unaided. This is despite the claim made by a medical professional during a court hearing that Alfie would die quickly – possibly in "minutes" – if taken off life support.

But even this display of the power of the human spirit to defy the expectations of the supposedly rational and objective state did nothing to sway the minds of the British courts and state-run medical apparatus.

On Wednesday, another legal appeal by the parents to be allowed to try and save their son's life was denied. The secular system has asserted its right to define what lives are worth living and is determined to prevent its authority from being questioned. Alfie Evans' life – like Charlie Gard's before him - has been determined to be limited by the standards of the secular state – and therefore without value.

These tragic government-imposed death sentences for innocent infants should frighten all of us about increasing secularism in society and the steady shift towards a totalitarian willingness to control our lives – down to and including ending them – on the government's terms.

This is a direct assault on the core premise of the Declaration of Independence. We Americans asserted that we "are endowed by [our] Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness." In the American Revolution, in our fight against the British crown, we asserted that rights come from God not from government.

However, our secular, liberal culture increasingly dismisses the concept of God and asserts that our rights come from a rational contract enforced by government. In the original American model, we asserted our God-given rights against the power of a potentially tyrannical government. In the emerging left-wing secular order, since there is no God, our rights depend on a secular state controlling itself.

Britain is giving us a vivid, tragic sense of how dangerous and heartless government tyranny can be once God is

rejected and there is nothing between us and the government.

Ironically, this latest decision was made the same year Stephen Hawking died 55 years after he was diagnosed with ALS (commonly known as Lou Gehrig's disease) and told he had only two years to live. Apparently, the British government learned no lessons from Hawking's remarkable lifetime of work and achievement, which he pursued despite having to battle an extraordinarily challenging illness. In fact, in 1985, Hawking contracted pneumonia while he was writing [A Brief History of Time](#), and his wife was asked if his life should be terminated. She refused, and Hawking went on to live another 33 years and publish one of the most acclaimed books of the 20th century, which has since sold more than [10 million copies](#) worldwide – all *after* it had been suggested he be taken off life support.

Hawking should be a permanent reminder that the human spirit is more important than the human body and that the will to live and achieve should not be destroyed by the state.

Yet, in the very country which produced and nurtured Hawking, the government still ordered the removal of life support from two babies. In both cases there has been an organized alternative to government-imposed death.

Charlie Gard's condition was potentially treatable by an experimental process in the United States. An American hospital and other organizations were willing to treat him. Supporters gave more than 1.3 million pounds (about \$1.8 million) to pay for the travel and treatment. His parents wanted him to have the chance to live. However, the British bureaucracy took time to consider if he could go. During that bureaucratic process, his condition worsened. Then, having allowed his condition to worsen by refusing to say yes, it was too late. During the bureaucratic deliberation, Charlie's parents and those who wanted to try to save him were told they had no right to help their own child. The child belonged to the government, and the government would decide whether he had the right to live.

This year, Alfie Evans had international support for an opportunity to live. The "Pope's hospital", Bambino Gesù Pediatric Hospital, has offered to treat Alfie (as it did with Charlie), and Pope Francis has publicly appealed to the British government to allow the young child to be taken to Rome. An air ambulance was sent to Alfie's hospital earlier this week to bring him to the doctors who wanted to try for a miraculous cure.

In a real sense: What better place is there to hope for a miracle than in the Pope's pediatric hospital, which has helped many children with rare diseases?

This appeal for hope fell on the deaf ears of the state, which refused to allow Alfie's parents to transfer their child to Rome. In fact, [The Telegraph](#) reported that despite a judge ruling that Alfie's parents could "explore" taking the child home, doctors treating the child have been against this because they fear that "in the 'worst case' they would try to take the boy abroad."

In other words, the "worst case" scenario would be for Alfie's parents to seek medical help to save their child.

This is monstrous. It is difficult to understand the arrogance and coldness of British judges who prefer to order death rather than allow parents to fight for the lives of their children. Yet at least twice in two years we have seen a supposedly free country's court system impose death on its most innocent citizens.

Some of this cruelty and inhumanity is a function of the growing culture of death and the expanding sense that secular values must drive religious values out of public life.

Some of it comes from a National Health Service which must bureaucratically define what is worth investing in and what is not. In a world of limited medical resources, little babies with rare conditions become expendable "for the greater good." The fact that we are all diminished makes no difference to the atheist bureaucratic left.

Those who say they favor socialism must be made to confront this inhumanity, which is an integral part of socialist implementation. When the government controls everything, the government defines everything, and humanity is crushed beneath petty rules and petty rulers.

In America, we are watching the steady growth of intolerance and the totalitarian impulse. Look at the campuses, which now seek to control speech. Look at the polls which show young people are being educated into support for censorship. Look at the California legislature, which is considering legislation that, taken to its logical conclusion, will outlaw the sale and distribution of the Bible and the Koran (the secular society sees both as intolerant, dangerous documents).

When you read about these two babies being denied life support by a supposedly free government, remember what John Donne warned when he wrote "any man's death diminishes me, because I am involved in mankind, and therefore never send to know for whom the bell tolls; it tolls for thee."

In these two years, we have seen two babies effectively sentenced to death by a government we would once have considered humane. What will the next horror be?

Your Friend,
Newt



KIM JONG UN PROMISES TO GIVE UP NUKES, BUT ONLY IF UNITED STATES GUARANTEES 1 THING

BY SCOTT KELNHOFER 4-29-18 At 11:04am

Naturally, any promise made by North Korean leader Kim Jong Un is going to be met with a heavy dose of skepticism.

But during Kim's historic meeting with South Korean President Moon Jae-in over the weekend, the North Korean leader made a significant promise he says is contingent on a pledge from the U.S.

According to officials of the South Korean government, Kim said he would be willing to give up his nuclear weapons if the U.S. commits to a formal end to the Korean War and a pledge not to attack North Korea.

South Korea also reported Friday that Kim has vowed to shut down North Korea's nuclear test site in May and disclose the process to experts and journalists from South Korea and the United States.

"The U.S. is constitutionally averse to North Korea, but through dialogue, it will become apparent that we have no intention to target South Korea, the Pacific Ocean or the U.S. with nuclear weapons," Moon's spokesman, Yoon Young-chan, quoted Kim as saying.

"If we are able to build trust with the U.S. through frequent meetings, and promises to end war, and practice a policy of non-aggression, there's no reason for us to live a hard life with nuclear weapons," Kim was quoted as saying.

U.S. officials were quick to point out North Korea has made similar promises in the past, but those promises have not been kept.

"We want to see real commitment," National Security Adviser John Bolton said Sunday on CBS' "Face the Nation." "We don't want to see propaganda from North Korea. We've seen words. We've seen words so far.

Secretary of State Mike Pompeo said Sunday on [ABC News](#) that the Trump administration wants to see "complete, verifiable, irreversible denuclearization" from North Korea, and hopes Kim is prepared to "lay out a map that would help us achieve" denuclearization.

"We had an extensive conversation on the hardest issues that face our two countries," Pompeo said of a secret meeting he had with Kim earlier this month. "I had a clear mission statement from President Trump. When I left, Kim Jong-un understood the mission exactly as I described it today."

A time and place for a future meeting between Kim and Trump is still being negotiated, but Yoon said Kim expressed optimism about meeting with Trump.

"Once we start talking, the United States will know that I am not a person to launch nuclear weapons at South Korea, the Pacific or the United States," Kim said, according to Yoon. "If we maintain frequent meetings and build trust with the United States and receive promises for an end to the war and a non-aggression treaty, then why would we need to live in difficulty by keeping our nuclear weapons?"

In a Saturday morning tweet, President Donald Trump said he was encouraged by the progress of the talks between North and South Korea.

RELATED: Trump Takes Aim at Obama-Era Regulations, Cites 'Unnecessary Burdens'

<https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump>

Donald J. Trump

✓

@realDonaldTrump

Just had a long and very good talk with President Moon of South Korea. Things are going very well, time and location of meeting with North Korea is being set. Also spoke to Prime Minister Abe of Japan to inform him of the ongoing negotiations.

Patrick McEachern, a former State Department analyst now with the Washington-based Wilson Center, told [the Associated Press](#) that despite Kim's reputation, it was still meaningful he expressed a pledge for complete denuclearization, considering he has spent most of the past two years bragging about how rapidly his nuclear arsenal had expanded.

"The public conversation should now shift from speculation on whether North Korea would consider denuclearization to how South Korea and the United States can advance this denuclearization pledge in concrete steps in light of North Korea's reciprocal demands for concrete steps toward an eventual peace agreement," McEachern said in an email to [the Associated Press](#).

What do you think?

Personally, I think the United States needs to proceed VERY carefully and observe VERY closely what happens now and continue watching very closely for FOREVER!!

Just my opinion.



Did you ever see/hear anything so crazy?? OK, so this is "par for the course" for Liberals. When will they AND everyone learn that we MUST take care of ourselves and absolutely our children before incoming illegals. We must straighten out our schools; teach our children life skills instead of how to demonstrate, backtalk, and fight & do drugs. Spend time with them.



May 2, 2018

The Silence of the Republican Lambs on Ronny Jackson, the Roaring Economy, and More

By [Brian C. Joondeph](#)

What a week for President Trump! We have North and South Korea making peace, with the North promising to give up its nukes – something unimaginable for past administrations, those filled with diplomatic wizards like Barack Obama, Hillary Clinton, and John Kerry. This announcement is on the heels of a successful state visit by French president Emmanuel Macron and Mike Pompeo's confirmation as secretary of state.

The economy is humming along with record low unemployment, particularly benefiting blacks and Hispanics, in a way that Democrat policies never allowed for. Rap superstar Kanye West, much to the dismay of the left, came out of the liberal closet and proclaimed himself a Trumpster. Left-wing MSNBC hack Joy Reid, after the discovery of her past Twitter musings, can't decide if she was a homophobe years ago when it wasn't one of the third rails of liberal politics, allowing the left another opportunity to eat one of its own, or else make silly excuses for her homophobia.

All is not wine and roses, however. The president's nominee for Veterans Administration secretary, Dr. Ronny Jackson, was hounded into withdrawing his nomination through rumors and unsubstantiated allegations, in a similar fashion to how Clarence Thomas and Robert Bork were treated when nominated for the Supreme Court, and just as Harry Reid tried to smear candidate Mitt Romney with lies about Romney not paying his taxes.

If Dr. Jackson was a drunken pill-pusher, as Senator Jon Tester claimed, why did George W. Bush and Barack Hussein Obama both use Dr. Jackson as their personal physician – not only for themselves, but also for their wives? Allegations are easy to make. Just look at the Russian collusion stories, to this day without proof or verification. In the short time frame between Dr. Jackson's nomination and confirmation hearings, it's quite difficult to prove that something didn't happen, particularly when it appears that the president is the only Republican defending his nominee against allegations promoted by the Democrats and the media.

Why aren't the past presidents who used Dr. Jackson as their personal physician coming to his defense? The silence of Bush and Obama speaks volumes, as they throw their personal physician to the wolves rather than lift a finger to support the current president.

Where are the Republicans? Why aren't they defending their president's nominee with as much vigor as the media and the Democrats are using in their attacks?

Make no mistake: if President Obama nominated his personal physician to serve as V.A. secretary and some Republican senator made salacious allegations, the Democrats would circle the wagons around their president's nominee and fight tooth and nail against the accusations. House minority leader Nancy Pelosi, Senate minority leader Chuck Schumer, Rep. Adam Schiff, Mad Maxine Waters, and many others would be apoplectic at one of their nominees being unjustly attacked and maligned. CNN would be having panel discussions over Republicans being "doctor-phobic."

Where were the Republicans this week? Instead of fighting back, they were wallflowers at the high school dance, fidgeting nervously, afraid to make eye contact with any of the other girls or boys.

But is that what's really going on?

Wallflowers don't get elected to Congress. Campaigns are challenging, with myriad media interviews, town hall meetings, and debates. The shy and timid never make it out of the starting gate, much less get elected and, for most members of Congress, re-elected, again and again.

Instead, this can only be deliberate, the silence of the Republican lambs, behavior that could easily lead them to a midterm slaughter if a fed up Republican base stays home on election day. Dr. Ronny Jackson's nomination is only one of many lost opportunities for Republicans to show unity with the president and fight back.

Where is the outrage over the bogus Russian collusion story? This is a fantasy created and perpetuated by Democrats and their media co-conspirators, dividing the country and creating such mistrust of elections and the Constitution that America may never recover and unify. Sure, a few members of Congress are pushing back – Reps. Devin Nunes, Matt Gaetz, Mark Meadows, and a handful of others – but the rest of the Republicans are nothing but wallflowers, giving credence to the collusion narrative via their silence.

How many Republicans are celebrating and touting the roaring economy? Or an unprecedented olive branch of peace offered by North Korea? The only Republican commenting is on-again, off-again Senator Lindsey

Graham, [praising](#) President Trump's diplomatic efforts to get Little Rocket Man to cross his southern border and join the civilized world, ending a more than half-century cold war between North Korea and the free world.

Instead, senators are far more animated and excited trying to [protect](#) Special Counsel Robert Mueller from Trump than protecting Trump from Mueller and those on a jihad to remove him from office. It almost seems as if most Republicans secretly hope Mueller and his team come up with something that can be construed as a high crime or a misdemeanor, enough to cripple Trump's presidency through impeachment, or, better yet, removing him from office, either via a Senate vote or resignation.

Are most Republican members of Congress simply embarrassed over Trump, over his style and demeanor? Or do they resent their so-called conservative message being delivered by a loudmouth from Queens, someone from the wrong side of the tracks, not one of their own?

Republicans should be delighted with Trump's success and popularity, celebrating his economy, judicial picks, regulatory rollbacks, and foreign policy achievements. Yet they are wallflowers, hiding in the shadows, picking their noses, afraid to join the party, their party.

The question is, why? What do they hope to accomplish by their silence? A once-in-a-generation opportunity to advance a conservative agenda is being squandered.

The midterms are a few months away. Will Republicans run with Trump or against him? Any guesses as to which option has the higher probability of getting them re-elected? Will Republicans engage and dance or stand in the corner as wallflowers? If they play this wrong, **it may be their last dance.**

Did you hear that?? **“It may be their last dance.” Make NO mistake – we must stay in the battle and if the USA is to continue to be the Country that can benefit the world and its citizens WE – the Republicans/Conservatives MUST WIN!!! There are times when I am not sure the Republican Party is with the Conservatives – they are pretty wimpy. The TEA party became frowned upon, when, (in my opinion) the going got tough, but it is the Conservatives/TEA Party that are fighting tooth and nail for the President. President Trump is making headway and will continue to IF he continues to be supported. I am tired of some of the Republicans being so wimpy and non-supporting. OK, President Trump does not do things as they would – he is accomplishing much more than any of them accomplished in their first year in office. Contact any and all of those who represent YOU and let them know how you feel and what you want them to do to be truly representing YOU. If and when they don't actually do that LET THEM KNOW – LOUD AND CLEAR!!! You CAN'T BLAME them IF YOU don't let them know what you want and how you feel.**

STEP UP AND BE COUNTED!!!

Dear Readers,

I want to THANK YOU for your contributions to the production of this Newsletter. It is greatly appreciated. There are times when I wonder if all the work, cost, effort, time and so on is worth it, but when I receive cash, checks, needed items from those of you who read the Newsletter my energies are renewed. THANK YOU SO MUCH for renewing my faith in what we are trying to do. Keep the faith of our efforts by letting me know how you feel.

THANK YOU!!

GRATEFULLY YOURS,
SYLDA

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.
If you would like to send/submit comments/editorials to the editor – be my guest.
Send to Sylde's email: sylda@gemsandwood.com

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com
or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chris or Larry Tawney or Sylde
Nichols, editor, email: sylda@gemsandwood.com. Sylde sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills
TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1310-A Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS
66502. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to "Educational Fund") will also be
accepted at this address and is tax deductible or to Flint Hills TEA Party which is not tax deductible.

Disclaimer: The editor of "The Patriots Truth", Flint Hills T.E.A. Party News, challenges everyone of us to continually evaluate statements whether written, verbal, or recorded from all sources whether within this document or from outside sources elsewhere as to their validity. Subjects included within are for encouraging thoughtful consideration and do not necessarily reflect our positions.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part
requires permission given by the persons listed above.